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## Hexameric trimethylsilylmethyloxotin acetate, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OAc})\right]_{6}$

The centrosymmetric hexanuclear title compound, hexa-$\mu_{2}$-acetato-hexa- $\mu_{3}$-oxo-hexakis[(trimethylsilylmethyl)tin], [ $\left.\mathrm{Sn}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{6}\right]$, adopts a 'drum' structure in which two $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{SnO}\right]_{3}$ caps are linked to each other via six $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ atoms and six bidentate bridging acetate groups. A $\mathrm{CO}_{5}$ donor set defines a distorted octahedral environment for each of the three independent Sn atoms.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was obtained as a hydrolysis product of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (see Experimental). The structure (Fig. 1 and Table 1) adopts a hexameric drum motif as found previously for related species (see reviews: Tiekink, 1991, 1994).

(I)

The centrosymmetric structure may be described as comprising two $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{SnO}\right]_{3}$ rings that are linked in two complementary ways. Each of the three bridging oxo atoms of each ring connects to an Sn atom of the second ring, of opposite orientation, leading to the presence of six $\mu_{3}$-oxo groups. The girth of the drum may be considered thus as six $\mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ rectangles arranged so as to form a tube. Six bidentate bridging acetate bridges afford additional links between the faces but, in this case, these diagonally span a $\mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ rectangle. The $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}$ groups are oriented above and below the faces of the drum. A distorted octahedral $\mathrm{CO}_{5}$ geometry, defined by three O atoms derived from three $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ atoms, two carboxylate O atoms and a C atom of the Sn -bound substituent, is found for each Sn atom.

## Experimental

The title compound, (I), was obtained as single crystals from a solution of authenticated $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, presumably as a
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## Figure 1

The molecular structure and crystallographic numbering scheme for (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level (Johnson, 1976).
result of cleavage of the $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}$ group followed by hydrolysis upon standing. A solution of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SnPh}_{2}(10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 23.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{ml})$ and concentrated acetic acid ( 80 ml ) was stirred overnight under reflux. The organic layer was collected and the aqueous layer washed with additional $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo to give $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ as a clear liquid $\left(7.90 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $299.98 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-0.06(s, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 0.47\left[s,{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{-}{ }^{117 / 119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=100 / 105,4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 1.90(s, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\left.75.44 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.55\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{117 / 119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)\right.$ $\left.=28, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right], 9.22\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{117 / 119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=463 / 485, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 20.63\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)$, $180.38(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(111.85 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-118.5$. Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Sn}\left(M_{r}=411.23\right)$ : C $35.05, \mathrm{H}$ $6.86 \%$; found: C 34.70, H $7.03 \%$. Hexane ( 15 ml ) was added to the $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and the solution allowed to stand at room temperature for 2 d . Colourless crystals of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{S}\right.$ $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OAc})]_{6}$ were collected $(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \%$ yield, m.p. $565-567 \mathrm{~K}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $399.78 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.08\left(s, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right), 0.17\left[\mathrm{~s},{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{117 /}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=153 / 159,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 1.97\left(s, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(75.44 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.20\left[{ }^{3} J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{117 / 119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=41, \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right], 13.07$ $\left[{ }^{1} J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{117 / 119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=959 / 1004, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 24.33\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right), 178.51\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{117 /}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=32, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right] ;{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100.73 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta-477.8$ $\left[{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}-{ }^{117} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=249,{ }^{2} J\left({ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{O}-{ }^{117} \mathrm{Sn}\right)=123\right]$. Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{O}_{18} \mathrm{Si}_{6} \mathrm{Sn}_{6}$ : C $25.65, \mathrm{H} 5.02 \%$; found: C 25.43 , H $4.92 \%$.

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{Sn}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{6}\right]$ | $D_{x}=1.717 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=1685.71$ | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ | Cell parameters from 4788 |
| $a=13.8888(7) \AA$ | reflections |
| $b=18.8359(9) \AA$ | $\theta=2.7-29.4^{\circ} \AA$ |
| $c=13.9301(7) \AA$ | $\mu=2.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\beta=116.545(1)^{\circ}$ | $T=223(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $V=3260.1(3) \AA^{3}$ | Plate, colourless |
| $Z=2$ | $0.23 \times 0.18 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Data collection

Bruker AXS SMART CCD
diffractometer

## $\omega$ scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.549, T_{\text {max }}=0.844$
27420 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.044$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.093$
$S=1.02$
9482 reflections
301 parameters

9482 independent reflections
7215 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.038$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=30.1^{\circ}$
$h=-17 \rightarrow 19$
$k=-23 \rightarrow 26$
$l=-19 \rightarrow 19$

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.04 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=1.12 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=-0.71 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| Sn1-O1 | 2.078 (2) | Sn2-C11 | 2.113 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.079 (3) | Sn3-O1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.075 (2) |
| Sn1-O3 | 2.080 (2) | Sn3-O2 | 2.066 (2) |
| Sn1-O4 | 2.157 (3) | Sn3-O3 | 2.099 (3) |
| Sn1-O6 | 2.150 (2) | Sn3-O7 | 2.152 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 2.117 (4) | Sn3-O9 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.149 (2) |
| Sn2-O1 | 2.085 (3) | Sn3-C15 | 2.114 (4) |
| Sn2-O2 | 2.085 (2) | Sn1-Sn2 | 3.2036 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Sn} 2-\mathrm{O} 3{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.075 (2) | Sn1-Sn3 | 3.2007 (4) |
| Sn2-O5 | 2.153 (3) | $\mathrm{Sn} 2-\mathrm{Sn} 3{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 3.2020 (4) |
| Sn2-O8 | 2.162 (3) |  |  |
| O1-Sn1-O6 | 158.61 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 3^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Sn} 2-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 158.49 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Sn} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 178.06 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Sn} 3-\mathrm{O} 7$ | 158.53 (10) |
| O3-Sn1-O4 | 162.12 (10) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Sn} 3-\mathrm{O} 9^{\text {i }}$ | 157.81 (10) |
| O1-Sn2-C11 | 175.60 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{Sn} 3-\mathrm{C} 15$ | 175.13 (13) |
| O2-Sn2-O8 | 159.53 (10) |  |  |

The C -bound H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances for methylene groups of $0.98 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.97 \mathrm{~A}$ for methyl; $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $U_{\text {iso }}($ methyl H$)=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The largest residual electron density peak is located in the vicinity of the atom Sn 2 .

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: PATTY in DIRDIF92 (Beurskens et al., 1992); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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